#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B20-01PCT			FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.		Ir	nternational filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/JP2004/009861		61	02.07.200	4	03.07.2003	
International Pate	ent Classification (	IPC) or nationa	al classification and	IPC		
A61K45/	00 , 31/	7056, A	A61P35/00			
Applicant						
JAPAN S	CIENCE A	ND TECH	INOLOGY AG	ENCY		
					·	
	<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>					
2. This RI	EPORT consists of	fatotal of 9	9 sheets, inclu		ding this cover sheet.	
3. This re	port is also accomp	panied by ANN	EXES, comprising:			
a. 🔀	(sent to the ap	pplicant and to	the International Bu	reau) a total of 4	sheets, as follows:	
	sheets o	f the descriptio	n, claims and/or dra	wings which have been	amended and are the basis for this report and/or	
	sheets co		fications authorized l	by this Authority (see R	ule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative	
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes better the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplement			•			
	Box. 7					
ь. 🗵	•			(indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))	
	1 flexi				, containing a sequence listing and/or tables	
			adable form only, as ive Instructions).	s indicated in the Suppl	emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see	
4. This rep	port contains indic	ations relating	to the following item	ns:		
$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the rep	port			
L	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establish:	nent of opinion with	regard to novelty, inven	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention			
	DOM 1101		ment under Article 3 xplanations supporting	•	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international	application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internat	tional application		
Date of submission	on of the demand	_		Date of completion of the	his report	
				-		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP				Authorized officer	·	
Facsimile No.				Telephone No.		

Translation

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		to the language, this report is based on the internationaler this item.	nal application in the language in w	hich it was filed, unless otherwise
	1 1	eport is based on translations from the original langua		
		is the language of a translation furnished for the purp international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	ioses or:	
	Ħ	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	)	
	Ĭ	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and		
2.	With regard	to the elements of the international application, this effice in response to an invitation under Article 14 ar	report is based on (replacement sh	neets which have been furnished to the iginally filed" and are not annexed to
	the in	ternational application as originally filed/furnished		
	the de	escription:		
	pages	1-3,6-8,10-23		as originally filed/furnished
	pages	* _4,5,9	received by this Authority on _	17.05.2005
	pages	*	received by this Authority on _	
	the cl	aims:		
	nos.			as originally filed/furnished
	nos.*		as amended (together	with any statement) under Article 19
	nos.*	2,3,5,6	received by this Authority on _	17.05.2005
	nos.*		received by this Authority on	
	the dr	awings:		
	sheet	fig. 1-9		as originally filed/furnished
	sheet			
	sheet			
	57	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem		
			icital box Relating to sequence Di-	
3.	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos. 1,4		****
	님	the drawings, sheets/figs		
	님	the sequence listing (specify):		
	_ 니	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	This they	report has been established as if (some of) the amendance been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	Iments annexed to this report and lited, as indicated in the Supplement	listed below had not been made, since al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If item 4 ap	plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

Box No.	. II	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	_	the entire international application			
$\succeq$	1	claims Nos. 5,6			
beca	ause	:			
$\trianglerighteq$		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 5, 6 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		Claims 5 and 6 describe methods of treatment of			
		the human or animal body by surgery or therapy, and			
		thus, pursuant to the provisions of PCT Article			
		34(4)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 67.1(iv), pertain to subject			
		matter on which this International Preliminary			
		Examining Authority is not required to carry out an			
		international preliminary examination.			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.  are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
_	7				
L	J	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
lacksquare		no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 5,6			
	]	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the written form has not been furnished			
		does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form has not been furnished			
		does not comply with the standard			
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		See Supplemental Box for further details.			

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
, 1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 3	_ YES		
		Claims		_ мо		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		_ YES		
		Claims	2, 3	_ мо		
	Industrial applicability	(IA) Claims	2, 3	YES		
	,	Claims		— NO		
2.	Citations and explanations	(Rule 70.7)				
	Document 1:	H. Bache	lez et al., "The use of			
		tetracyc	lines for the treatment of			
		sarcoido	sis," Archives of Dermatology, 2001,			
		Vol. 137	, No. 1, pages 69-73			
	Document 2:	Tsunahar	u Matsushima, "Kansensho no hassho			
			yobo ni kan suru kenkyu," Nagasaki			
		-	Nettai Igaku Kenkyusho Kyodo Kenkyu			
			au, 2002, Vol. 2001, pages 62-64			
	Document 3:		hiwaki et al., "Kogen keiryu ni			
	bocument 5:					
		_	mouse hainikuga shusho model no			
			" Bimansei Hai Shikkan Kenkyuhan,			
			4-nendo Kenkyu Hokokusho, March			
		· <del>-</del>	ges 186-192			
	Document 4:	L.A. Con	e et al., "Cerebral epidural abscess			
		and revi	ew of central nervous system			
		infection	ns caused by <i>Propionibacterium</i>			
		acnes,"	Antimicrobics and Infectious			
		Diseases	Newsletter, 2000, Vol. 18, No. 12,			
		pages 94	-95			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document 5: E. Brauner et al., "Comparative efficacy of trospectomycin (U-63366F), clindamycin, and imipenem against Bacteroides fragilis in the infected granuloma pouch model," Current Therapeutic Research, 1989, Vol. 45, No. 3, pages 414-20

Document 6: E. Brauner et al., "Comparative study of clindamycin, imipenem, oxacillin and vancomycin in the infected granuloma pouch model," Journal of Antimicrobial

Chemotherapy, 1989, Vol. 23, No. 6, pages 891-8

Document 1 (see the abstract) cited in the international search report states that tetracycline antibiotics such as minocycline and doxycycline are useful for treating sarcoidosis. Document 1 (see page 72, right column, line 13 to page 73, left column, line 3) also suggests that the efficacy of minocycline and the like against sarcoidosis may derive from the anti-infective effect of tetracyclines against microbes such as *Propionibacterium acnes*.

Document 2 cited in the international search report states that *Propionibacterium acnes* is considered an etiological agent of sarcoidosis, and can cause the formation of granulomas.

Document 3 cited in the international search report indicates that *Propionibacterium acnes* is thought to make induction of granulomas easier and is considered a candidate causative antigen for sarcoidosis, and states

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009861

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

that pulmonary granulomas are induced by a recurrent immune response to *Propionibacterium acnes*.

Document 4 cited in an earlier written opinion indicates that symptoms of epidural abscess caused by Propionibacterium acnes were alleviated following treatment with meropenem (see page 94, right column, lines 9 to 18), and also indicates that Propionibacterium acnes is sensitive to antibiotics such as penicillins, cephalosporins, macrolides, meropenem, and clindamycin (page 95, center column, lines 3 to 8).

Document 5 cited in an earlier written opinion indicates the use of antibiotics such as trospectomycin for treating a granuloma pouch caused by infection with Bacteroides fragilis (see the abstract).

Document 6 cited in an earlier written opinion indicates the use of antibiotics such as clindamycin for treating a granuloma pouch caused by infection with Staphylococcus aereus or Bacteroides fragilis (see the abstract).

Claims 2 and 3

Novelty

The invention set forth in the present claims 2 and 3 is not disclosed in any of documents 1 to 6, and thus, said invention is novel.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009861

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Inventive step

Documents 1 to 6

A comparison of the invention disclosed in document 1 and the invention set forth in the present claims 2 and 3 reveals that the two differ in that the invention disclosed in document 1 uses tetracycline antibiotics, whereas the invention according to the present claims 2 and 3 uses other antibiotics such as penicillins.

However, using an antibiotic for which a given microbe has sensitivity to treat a disease wherein said microbe is the predicted etiological agent, as shown in document 4 to 6, is recognized as conventional practice. Concerning this issue, the basis for considering P. acnes an etiological agent of sarcoidosis was known at the time of filing, as shown in documents 2 and 3. Further, document 1 specifically indicates that tetracycline antibiotics, for which P. acnes has sensitivity, are effective against sarcoidosis, and states that such efficacy may derive from an anti-infective effect against P. acnes. In the light of these disclosures, a person skilled in the art could easily take particular notice of the hypothesis that P. acnes is an etiological agent of sarcoidosis from among the many hypotheses about the causes of sarcoidosis, and conceive of adapting the invention disclosed in document 1 by using an antibiotic other than a tetracycline against P. acnes.

Further, no exceptional effect difficult to predict from documents 1 to 6 is recognized as being produced as a result of such adaptation.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009861

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Therefore, the invention set forth in claims 2 and 3 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 6.

Moreover, in the response to the written opinion, dated 8 September 2005, the applicant asserts that the present invention has an advantageous effect not present in conventional therapeutic agents, but the effect asserted by the applicant results from the use of an antibiotic as an active ingredient, which is recognized as an effect already produced by the invention disclosed in document 1, and not as a new effect produced by replacing the tetracycline antibiotic disclosed in document 1 with another antibiotic.

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:		
1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/formishing  contained in the international application as filed  filed together with the international application in computer readable form  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination  received by this Authority as an amendment* on  1. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.  3. Additional comments:		
* If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."		